## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

FILED

April 25, 2025 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

NM

**DEPUTY** 

DONALD RICHARD KARR, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, **DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS** AFFAIR, DENIS MCDONOGH, SECRETARY, VETERANS AFFAIR: VETERANS AFFAIR UNION AFGE (AFOL-CIO), TRICARE **INSURANCÉ, DONALD LEE KARR,** DOES 1 THRU 1,500 DOES,

Defendants.

NO. SA-24-CV-00344-OLG

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

*യൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻൻ* 

The Court has considered United States Magistrate Judge Richard B. Farrer's Report and Recommendation (R&R), filed December 11, 2024, concerning Plaintiff's Petition for Writ of Mandamus. (See R&R, Dkt. No. 9.)

Any party who desires to object to a Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations must serve and file specific written objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the findings and recommendations. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2). A copy of the R&R was mailed via certified mail on December 12, 2024 (see Dkt. No. 10) and received on December 26, 2024 (see Dkt. No. 11). No objections have been filed.

Because no party has objected to the Magistrate Judge's findings or recommendations, the Court reviews the R&R for clear error. See United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989); cf. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which an

objection is made.") After such review, the Court finds that the R&R is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's R&R (Dkt. No. 9) and, for the reasons set forth therein, this case is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).

This case is **CLOSED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2025.

ORLANDO L. GARCIA United States District Judge